

# Accelerating 16.5 GW of New Capacity in Pennsylvania Using Existing Grid with \$1.4B Savings

Umed Paliwal, Amol Phadke | [umed@berkeley.edu](mailto:umed@berkeley.edu) | [www.repowerpenn.com](http://www.repowerpenn.com)

## The Challenge

- ! **Gridlock in Interconnection Queues:** Pennsylvania has 21 GW across 694 projects in the PJM interconnection queue, with average connection timelines exceeding 5 years — over 40 months to reach interconnection agreement, plus 2+ years for construction.
- ! **Skyrocketing Capacity Prices:** PJM 2027/28 capacity reached \$333/MW-day — an 11x increase from \$29/MW-day in 2024/25, hitting the price cap.
- ! **Gas Plant Supply Chain Constraints:** New gas plants ordered today won't come online until 2030-2031 at earliest. Capital costs surging to \$2,200-2,800/kW for recent combined-cycle projects (GridLab 2025).
- ! **Data Center Growth:** PPL alone has 25.2 GW of signed data center agreements. Power availability is the primary site selection factor.

## The Solution

- ✓ **Unlocking Idle Grid Connections:** 10.5 GW of Pennsylvania's thermal capacity (31%) operates at less than 20% capacity factor. Coal plants average just 19% utilization. Solar plants at 15.1% CF severely underutilize their interconnections.
- ✓ **Bypassing the Queue:** Deployment of new generation at existing underutilized plants can bypass lengthy interconnection queues.
- ✓ **Energy Potential:** Pennsylvania can add 16.5 GW of new energy capacity through surplus interconnection: 10 GW at thermal plants (9.5 GW solar + 0.5 GW wind) and 4.3 GW at renewable plants + 2.3 GW of 6-hour battery storage.
- ✓ **Rapid Deployment:** Surplus interconnection can save \$1.4 billion in interconnection costs, equivalent to \$256 per Pennsylvania household. Projects can be completed in 12-18 months compared to 5+ years for standard queue projects.

## Policy Recommendations

- " **Integrate into Resource Planning:** Require the Pennsylvania PUC and utilities to evaluate surplus interconnection potential in resource planning — identify which existing plants offer the best opportunities for co-location.
- " **Develop Procurement Mechanisms:** Create RFPs for specific plant sites and Purchase and Sale Agreement structures to enable third-party development at utility-owned facilities.
- " **Streamline Permitting:** Co-located projects have inherently lower land-use and environmental impacts and should qualify for expedited approval pathways.
- " **Evaluate Before Greenfield:** Require evaluation of surplus interconnection opportunities before approving new greenfield generation capacity.

## Key Impact Metrics

**16.5 GW**

New Energy Capacity Available Through Existing Infrastructure

**12-18 mo**

Accelerated Project Timeline vs. 5+ Years for New Interconnections

**\$1.4B**

Direct Cost Savings from Avoiding New Transmission Infrastructure

## Explore Interactive Data Dashboard

Explore surplus potential, site development opportunities, renewable resource quality, and economic competitiveness for each Pennsylvania plant.

[Visit Dashboard](#)